

Right of Publicity

in 17 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editor: Jonathan D Reichman





Published by

Getting The Deal Through in association with: Atsumi & Sakai Avah Legal, SC **Bouwers Inc** Cabinet Bruno Ryterband Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira Daniel Advogados Drakopoulos Law Firm Kenyon & Kenyon LLP Libera! Luthi & Co Majmudar & Co International Lawyers Marriott Harrison Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal Piaty Müller-Mezin Schoeller Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Vossius & Partner



Right of Publicity 2011

Contributing editor: Jonathan D Reichman Kenyon & Kenyon LLP

Business development manager Joseph Samuel

Marketing managers Alan Lee George Ingledew Robyn Hetherington Dan White Ellie Notley Sarah Walsh Marketing assistant Alice Hazard

Subscriptions manager Nadine Radcliffe Subscriptions@ GettingTheDealThrough.com

Assistant editor Adam Myers Editorial assistant Nina Nowak

Senior production editor Jonathan Cowie

Chief subeditor Jonathan Allen Senior subeditor Kathryn Smuland Subeditors Subeditors Chloe Harries Davet Hyland

Editor-in-chief Callum Campbell Publisher Richard Davey

Right of Publicity 2011 Published by Law Business Research Ltd 87 Lancaster Road London, W11 1QQ, UK Tel: +44 20 7908 1188 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910 © Law Business Research Ltd 2010

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112

Law **Business** Research

Global Overview Jonathan D Reichman Kenyon & Kenyon LLP	3
Albania Evis Jani Drakopoulos Law Firm	5
Argentina Gustavo Giay and Enrique Stile Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal	9
Austria Isabella A Hoedl Piaty Müller-Mezin Schoeller Rechtsanwälte GmbH	12
Benelux Kristof Van der Cruysse Libera!	16
Brazil Fernando Sesto Daniel Advogados	20
France Bruno Ryterband Cabinet Bruno Ryterband	24
Germany Marcus von Welser Vossius & Partner	30
Greece Eleni Lappa Drakopoulos Law Firm	35
India Anoop Narayanan and Priyanka Gupta Majmudar & Co International Lawyers	38
Israel Idit Potok Luthi & Co	42
Japan Chie Kasahara Atsumi & Sakai	47
Mexico Agustin Velázquez GL, Tomas Arankowsky-Tames and Viviana Ruiz-Martinez Avah Legal, SC	50
Romania Andra Filatov Drakopoulos Law Firm	55
South Africa Deon Bouwer and Vuyo Mpalweni Bouwers Inc	60
Spain Ana Soto and Maria Luisa Osuna Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira	64
United Kingdom Tony Morris and Carys Damon Marriott Harrison	68
United States Jonathan D Reichman Kenyon & Kenyon LLP	74

Japan

Chie Kasahara

Atsumi & Sakai

Sources of law

1 Is the right of publicity recognised? Yes.

2 What are the principal legal sources for the right of publicity?

There is no statute approving the right of publicity. The right of publicity is recognised through judicial precedents.

3 How is the right enforced? Which courts have jurisdiction?

The right is enforced in the form of an injunction, compensation and collection of the infringing goods.

District courts, High Courts and the Supreme Court have jurisdiction.

If the dispute relates to civil intellectual property within the jurisdiction of the Tokyo High Court, then the Intellectual High Court, established in April 2005 as a special branch of the Tokyo High Court, would hear the case at second instance.

4 Is the right recognised per se, or by reference to other laws?

The right is recognised by reference to the Constitution, the Civil Code, the Copyright Act, or both.

Existence of right

5 Who has or is entitled to the right of publicity?

Persons of distinction or celebrities such as actors, sportspersons, singers or performers are entitled to the right of publicity.

6 Do individuals need to commercialise their identity to have a protectable right of publicity?

No; it is not always necessary for individuals to commercialise their identity to have a protectable right of publicity.

7 Can a foreign citizen have a protectable right of publicity?

Yes. For example, the following have all successfully asserted their publicity rights in Japan:

- Mark Lester (Tokyo District Court, 26 June 1976);
- Steve McQueen (Tokyo District Court, 2 October 1981); and
- King Crimson (Tokyo District Court, 21 January 1998 and Tokyo High Court, 24 February 1999).

8 What is protected under the right of publicity?

Names, likenesses and signatures may be protected.

However, there is no judicial precedent or academic opinion regarding the protection of a person's voice or persona, so these may not be protected.

9 Is registration required for protection of the right? If so, what is the procedure and what are the fees for registration?

No.

Ownership of right

10 Can the right be transferred? In what circumstances?

Yes. Many actors and singers enter into management agreements with agents, and the right is transferred to such agents in accordance with the management agreements.

Transferability of publicity rights is in fact currently a topic of debate. However, on 25 February 2008 the Intellectual Property High Court at least partially admitted transferability of publicity rights in relation to a dispute between pro-baseball players and their baseball teams (Heisei 18 (ne) No. 10072).

11 Can the right be licensed? In what circumstances?

Yes. The right is usually licensed for the production and distribution of products including character goods, music CDs and DVDs.

12 If the right is sold or licensed, who may sue for infringement?

The purchaser of the licence or the licensee may sue for infringement; the celebrity who licensed his or her right also has a residual right to sue for infringement.

13 How long does protection of the right last?

There is no set period of protection in Japan; 30 years, 50 years and indefinite periods of protection have all been proposed for new legislation.

14 Is the right protected after the individual's death? For how long? Must the right have been exercised while the individual was alive?

Protection of the right after the individual's death has been under discussion, but currently does not exist in Japan.

In practice, however, the successors of some deceased celebrities do require that their approval is sought and that they receive the payment of royalties from those wishing to use the photos or recorded images of the celebrities. Many television stations, television production companies and publishers do obtain such approval and pay royalties.

15 If post-mortem rights are recognised, who inherits the rights upon the individual's death? How is this determined?

Post mortem rights are currently not recognised under Japanese law.

In one dispute, regarding the publicity of famous racehorses, the Nagoya District Court judged (on 19 January 2000) that the financial value of famous racehorses' names should be protected within the broad category of publicity rights, and that even after the horse's death, as long as there is a financial value attached to the name of the horse, the owner of this publicity right may have a claim for compensation for infringement of such publicity right. The Nagoya High Court supported the judgment on 8 March 2001.

However, the Second Branch of the Supreme Court dismissed the judgments of these lower courts on 13 February 2004. The Supreme

Update and trends

Some major agents are beginning to accept the secondary use of images or photographs of the talent (eg, actors, singers, comedians) that they manage. There is still no legislation regarding the right of publicity,

although discussion is expected in the near future.

Court denied the possibility of an injunction and compensation based on the infringement of publicity of *res* (ie, something that is not a natural person). It judged that the use of the name of *res* is protected by the Japanese Trademark Law, Copyright Act and Unfair Competition Prevention Act, in accordance with the provisions of such acts, and that exclusive legal protection without any legislation cannot be accepted.

Based on this judgment of the Supreme Court, because there is no legislation regarding publicity rights in Japan, post-mortem rights of publicity are not accepted as a legal right.

16 Can the right be lost through the action or inaction of its owner?

No. However, if the owner ceases to request that his or her approval is sought for the use of his or her photo or image and the payment of royalties for such use, everyone will use the publicity freely.

17 What steps can right owners take to ensure their right is fully protected?

Not relevant.

Infringement

18 What constitutes infringement of the right?

The use of the name, likeness or signature of the right holder without the approval of the right holder constitutes infringement.

19 Is an intent to violate the right necessary for a finding of infringement? No.

20 Does secondary liability exist for the right? What actions incur such liability?

No.

21 What defences exist to an infringement claim?

Use in news reports may constitute defence against an infringement claim. The limited use of photos and names with reasonable purpose and extent may constitute the defence (please see the precedent outlined in question 26).

Remedies

22 What remedies are available to an owner of the right of publicity against an infringer? Are monetary damages available?

The available remedies are injunction and the collection of infringing goods.

Monetary damages are available.

23 Is there a time limit for seeking remedies?

There is a time limit for seeking remedies; generally this is three years from the date of the infringement in accordance with Civil Code (the restriction of period for damages in tort).

Essentially, the right to demand compensation for damages in tort is extinguished by prescription (such as statute of limitations) if it is not exercised by the victim or his or her legal representative within three years from the time that he or she comes to know of the damage and the identity of the perpetrator. 24 Are attorneys' fees and costs available? In what circumstances?

Yes. However, in practice it is rare that amounts awarded by a judgment will cover attorneys' fees and costs.

25 Are punitive damages available? If so, under what conditions? No.

26 What significant judgments have recently been awarded for infringement of the right?

In one lawsuit, known as the *Pink Lady* case (Tokyo District Court, Heisei 19 (wa) No. 20986 and Intellectual Property High Court, Heisei 20 (ne) No 10063), a previously famous duo of female singers claimed compensation for infringement of their right of publicity against a weekly magazine publisher for women that published monochrome photographs of them in an article regarding a diet programme.

The Tokyo District Court (on 4 July 2008) and the Tokyo High Court (on 27 August 2009) decided in favour of the publisher. The High Court judged that whether the use of the names and likenesses of the celebrities infringed the right of publicity or not should be decided based on whether the asserted infringer had used such name or likeness due to recognising their attractiveness to customers. Considering the purpose and the condition of use of the photographs, the Court judged that the defendant did not infringe the right of publicity due to the following:

- it had used monochrome photographs;
- the size of the photos used;
- the ratio of the photos used to that of the article; and
- the contents of the article.

Litigation

27 In what forum are right of publicity infringement proceedings held?

The proceedings are the same as a normal suit.

Japan's 50 district courts have territorial jurisdiction at first instance for cases in which the amount disputed exceeds ¥1.4 million (if the amount disputed in a case is less than ¥1.4 million, the summary courts may have jurisdiction; however, it is very rare that summary courts handle publicity cases).

Eight High Courts and the Intellectual Property High Court have territorial jurisdiction at second instance. The Supreme Court exercises appellate jurisdiction of final appeal and for appeals against a ruling as provided specifically in the codes of procedure.

A final appeal to the Supreme Court is permissible in the following instances in relation to civil cases:

- an appeal lodged against a judgment rendered in the first or second instance by a High Court;
- a direct appeal sought against a judgment rendered by a district court at first instance;
- an appeal filed with a High Court and transferred to the Supreme Court for certain special reasons; and
- a special appeal to the court of last resort against a judgment in a civil case rendered by a High Court as the final appellate court.

28 Are disputed issues decided by a judge or a jury?

Disputed issues are decided by a judge or a panel of three judges.

As a rule, cases are handled by a single judge; however, a threejudge panel is required in the following instances:

- for cases in which the judges in each branch of a district court have decided (at their discretion) that the trial and decision should be made by a panel;
- for appeals against judgments in civil cases rendered by summary courts and appeals against orders and directions made at summary courts in civil cases; and
- for cases designated as requiring a panel by laws other than the court act, which provides the above.

29 To what extent are courts willing to consider, or bound by, the opinions of other national or foreign courts that have handed down decisions in similar cases?

Courts will consider or refer to other national or foreign court decisions; however, they are not bound by these.

30 Is preliminary relief available? If so, what preliminary measures are available and under what conditions?

Preliminary relief may be available. In practice, however, it is rare that the right holder asks for preliminary relief unless there is a privacy issue in addition to the publicity issue.

31 What avenues of appeal are available in main proceedings or preliminary injunction proceedings? Under what conditions?

The party who lost, in whole or in part, the case in an initial jurisdiction may appeal to the high court designated depending on the local jurisdiction.

Appeal to the Supreme Court is limited pursuant to civil procedure.

32 What is the average cost and time frame for a first-instance decision, for a preliminary injunction, and for appeal proceedings?

The average cost varies depending on the case, the attorneys and how the defendant asserts the case.

There is no regulation regarding attorneys' fees in Japan. If the amount of a dispute is \$5 million, attorneys' fees for a preliminary injunction might range from \$500,000 to \$1 million, and official costs to be paid to the court would be \$2,000. Attorneys' fees for a first-instance decision might range from \$750,000 to \$1.5 million, and official costs to be paid to the court would be \$30,000. Attorneys' fees for appeal proceedings might range from \$750,000 to \$1.5 million, and official costs to be paid to the court would be \$30,000. Attorneys' fees for appeal proceedings might range from \$750,000 to \$1.5 million, and official costs to be paid to the court would be \$40,000.

The time taken to obtain a first-instance decision varies from one to one-and-a-half years. The time taken to obtain a preliminary injunction varies from a few days to one month, depending on the case, and the first appeal would take from one to two years.



Chie Kasahara

Fukoku Seimei Building 2-2 Uchisaiwai-cho 2-chome Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100-0011 Japan

chie.kasahara@aplaw.jp

Tel: +81 3 5501 2111 Fax: +81 3 5501 2211 www.aplaw.jp



Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport Anti-Corruption Regulation Arbitration Banking Regulation Cartel Regulation **Climate Regulation** Construction Copyright **Corporate Governance Dispute Resolution** Dominance e-Commerce **Electricity Regulation** Environment Franchise **Gas Regulation** Insurance & Reinsurance Intellectual Property & Antitrust Labour & Employment Licensing Life Sciences

Merger Control Mergers & Acquisitions Mining **Oil Regulation** Patents **Pharmaceutical Antitrust** Private Antitrust Litigation **Private Equity Product Liability Product Recall Project Finance** Public Procurement **Real Estate** Restructuring & Insolvency **Right of Publicity** Securities Finance Shipping Tax on Inbound Investment Telecoms and Media Trademarks Vertical Agreements

For more information or to purchase books, please visit: www.gettingthedealthrough.com



Strategic research partners of the ABA International section





The Official Research Partner of the International Bar Association

RIGHT OF PUBLICITY 2011

ISSN 2045-4325