

## **Right of Publicity**

### in 17 jurisdictions worldwide

2012

**Contributing editor: Jonathan D Reichman** 



Published by Getting the Deal Through in association with:

**ANA Law Group** 

Atsumi & Sakai

Baptista, Monteverde & Associados, Sociedade de

Advogados, RL

Cabinet Bruno Ryterband

Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira

Daniel Advogados

Harbottle & Lewis LLP

Kenyon & Kenyon LLP

Luthi & Co

Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

MGAP Attorneys at Law

Piaty Müller-Mezin Schoeller Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Potamitisvekris

Reisman Law Offices

Studio Legale D'Andrea

Uhthoff, Gomez Vega & Uhthoff, SC

Vossius & Partner



#### **Right of Publicity** 2012

**Contributing editor** Jonathan D Reichman Kenyon & Kenyon LLP

Business development managers Alan Lee George Ingledew Robyn Hetherington Dan White

Marketing managers Ellie Notley Sarah Walsh Alice Hazard

Marketing assistants William Bentley Sarah Savage Zosia Demkowicz

Marketing Manager (subscriptions) Rachel Nurse Subscriptions@ GettingTheDealThrough.com

Editorial assistant

Senior production editor Jonathan Cowie

**Subeditor**s Sarah Morgan Caroline Rawson Davet Hyland

**Publisher** Richard Davey

Right of Publicity 2012
Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
87 Lancaster Road
London, W11 1QQ, UK
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910
© Law Business Research Ltd 2011
No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.
ISSN 2045-4325

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer–client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of December 2011, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112

Law **Business** Research

Global Overview Jonathan D Reichman Kenyon & Kenyon LLP	3
Argentina Gustavo Giay and Enrique Stile Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal	5
Austria Isabella A Hoedl Piaty Müller-Mezin Schoeller Rechtsanwälte GmbH	8
Brazil Fernando Sesto Daniel Advogados	13
Canada Hatty Reisman and Porsha Gauthier Reisman Law Offices	18
France Bruno Ryterband Cabinet Bruno Ryterband	25
Germany Marcus von Welser Vossius & Partner	31
Greece Xenophon Paparrigopoulos, Alexandros Koliothomas and Dana Mabger Potamitisvekris	36
India Anoop Narayanan ANA Law Group	40
Israel Daniel Lerner and Idit Potok Luthi & Co	44
Italy Eugenio D'Andrea and Ferdinando Tozzi Studio Legale D'Andrea	50
Japan Chie Kasahara <i>Atsumi &amp; Sakai</i>	54
Mexico Carlos Trujillo Uhthoff, Gomez Vega & Uhthoff, SC	58
Portugal Filipe Baptista and Joana Mota	
Baptista, Monteverde & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, RL	62
Russia Alexander Yurchik, Natalia Martynova and Yuriy Korchuganov MGAP Attorneys at Law	66
Spain Ana Soto Pino and Maria Luisa Osuna Páez Cuatrecasas, Gonçalves Pereira	70
United Kingdom Mark Owen Harbottle & Lewis LLP	74
United States Jonathan D Reichman Kenyon & Kenyon LLP	78

JAPAN Atsumi & Sakai

# Japan

#### **Chie Kasahara**

Atsumi & Sakai

#### Sources of law

1 Is the right of publicity recognised?

Yes.

What are the principal legal sources for the right of publicity?

There is no statute approving the right of publicity. The right of publicity is recognised through judicial precedents.

3 How is the right enforced? Which courts have jurisdiction?

The right is enforced in the form of an injunction, compensation and collection of the infringing goods.

District courts, high courts and the Supreme Court have jurisdiction.

If the dispute relates to civil intellectual property within the jurisdiction of the Tokyo High Court, then the Intellectual High Court, established in April 2005 as a special branch of the Tokyo High Court, would hear the case at second instance.

4 Is the right recognised per se, or by reference to other laws?

The right is recognised by reference to the Constitution, the Civil Code, the Copyright Act, or a combination of the three.

#### **Existence of right**

**5** Who has or is entitled to the right of publicity?

Persons of distinction or celebrities such as actors, sportspersons, singers or performers are entitled to the right of publicity.

6 Do individuals need to commercialise their identity to have a protectable right of publicity?

No; it is not always necessary for individuals to commercialise their identity to have a protectable right of publicity.

7 Can a foreign citizen have a protectable right of publicity?

Yes. For example, the following have all successfully asserted their publicity rights in Japan:

- Mark Lester (Tokyo District Court, 26 June 1976);
- Steve McQueen (Tokyo District Court, 2 October 1981);
- King Crimson (Tokyo District Court, 21 January 1998 and Tokyo High Court, 24 February 1999); and
- Bae Yong Joon (Tokyo District Court, 21 October 2010).
- **8** What is protected under the right of publicity?

Names, likenesses and signatures may be protected.

However, there is no judicial precedent or academic opinion regarding the protection of a person's voice or persona, so these may not be protected. 9 Is registration required for protection of the right? If so, what is the procedure and what are the fees for registration?

No.

Does the existence, or the extent, of the right depend on where the individual lives or has lived?

No. So long as the person who asserts the right of publicity can put a financial value on his or her presence, then the right may be protected under Japanese law. Foreign judgments or arbitral awards, or both, that are not against the public policy limitations of the Civil Code may be enforced in Japan via the prescribed procedure. On the other hand, some foreign judgments may not be enforced. For instance, since there is no concept of punitive damages in Japan, a punitive damages award rendered in the US is not enforceable in Japan.

#### Ownership of right

**11** Can the right be transferred? In what circumstances?

Yes. Many actors and singers enter into management agreements with agents, and the right is transferred to such agents in accordance with the management agreements.

Transferability of publicity rights is in fact currently a topic of debate. However, on 25 February 2008 the Intellectual Property High Court at least partially admitted transferability of publicity rights in relation to a dispute between professional baseball players and their baseball teams (Heisei 18 (ne) No. 10072).

Recently, the Tokyo District Court (on 28 April 2010) denied a management agency ownership the right of publicity of an actor under contract with the agency, in a situation where the actor used his name and made appearances in food service business unrelated to his activities as an actor through the agency, despite the existence of the management agreement between the agency and the actor under which the agency owned right of publicity jointly with the actor.

**12** Can the right be licensed? In what circumstances?

Yes. The right is usually licensed for advertisement, production and distribution of products including character goods, music CDs and DVDs.

13 If the right is sold or licensed, who may sue for infringement?

The purchaser of the licence or the licensee may sue for infringement; the celebrity who licensed his or her right also has a residual right to sue for infringement.

How long does protection of the right last?

There is no set period of protection in Japan; 30 years, 50 years and indefinite periods of protection have all been proposed for new legislation.

Atsumi & Sakai JAPAN

**15** Is the right protected after the individual's death? For how long? Must the right have been exercised while the individual was alive?

Protection of the right after the individual's death has been under discussion, but currently does not exist in Japan.

In practice, however, the successors of some deceased celebrities do require that their approval is sought and that they receive the payment of royalties from those wishing to use the photos or recorded images of the celebrities. Many television stations, television production companies and publishers do obtain such approval and pay royalties.

**16** If post-mortem rights are recognised, who inherits the rights upon the individual's death? How is this determined?

Post-mortem rights are currently not recognised under Japanese law, although post-mortem rights have been under discussion.

In fact, one lawsuit in which heirs of the rights of a famous singer who had a big hit claimed compensation against the deceased singer's agent asserting that the management agreement between the late singer and the agent terminated several years after the late singer's death, was decided by the Tokyo District Court (on 15 March 2011) in favour of the heirs and that the agent should cease use of the publicity of the singer and also that the agent should compensate the heirs to the amount received by the agent in relation to the publicity of the singer from when the agreement terminated.

On the other hand, in one dispute, regarding the publicity of famous racehorses, the Nagoya District Court judged (on 19 January 2000) that the financial value of famous racehorses' names should be protected within the broad category of publicity rights and that even after the horse's death, as long as there is a financial value attached to the name of the horse, the owner of this publicity right may have a claim for compensation for infringement of such publicity right. The Nagoya High Court supported the judgment on 8 March 2001.

However, the Second Branch of the Supreme Court dismissed the judgments of these lower courts on 13 February 2004. The Supreme Court denied the possibility of an injunction and compensation based on the infringement of publicity of res (ie, something that is not a natural person). It judged that the use of the name of res is protected by the Japanese Trademark Law, Copyright Act and Unfair Competition Prevention Act, in accordance with the provisions of such acts, and that exclusive legal protection without any legislation cannot be accepted.

Based on this judgment of the Supreme Court, because there is no legislation regarding publicity rights in Japan, post-mortem rights of publicity are not accepted as a legal right, although there is no Supreme Court judgment regarding the post mortem rights and there is possibility that a court may recognise post mortem rights to some extent in relation to natural persons.

17 Can the right be lost through the action or inaction of its owner?

No. However, if the owner ceases to request that his or her approval is sought for the use of his or her photo or image and the payment of royalties for such use, then others are more likely to use the publicity, making enforcement more difficult from a practical standpoint.

**18** What steps can right owners take to ensure their right is fully protected?

Not relevant.

#### Infringement

19 What constitutes infringement of the right?

The use of the name, likeness or signature of the right holder without the approval of the right holder constitutes infringement.

20 Is an intent to violate the right necessary for a finding of infringement?

21 Does secondary liability exist for the right? What actions incur such liability?

No.

22 What defences exist to an infringement claim?

Use in news reports may constitute defence against an infringement claim. The limited use of photos and names with reasonable purpose and extent may constitute the defence (please see the precedent outlined in question 27).

#### Remedies

What remedies are available to an owner of the right of publicity against an infringer? Are monetary damages available?

The available remedies are injunction and the collection of infringing goods.

Monetary damages are available.

24 Is there a time limit for seeking remedies?

There is a time limit for seeking remedies; generally this is three years from the date of the infringement in accordance with Civil Code (the restriction of period for damages in tort).

Essentially, the right to demand compensation for damages in tort is extinguished by prescription (such as statute of limitations) if it is not exercised by the victim or his or her legal representative within three years from the time that he or she comes to know of the damage and the identity of the perpetrator.

25 Are attorneys' fees and costs available? In what circumstances?

Yes. However, in practice it is rare that amounts awarded by a judgment will cover attorneys' fees and costs.

**26** Are punitive damages available? If so, under what conditions? No.

What significant judgments have recently been awarded for infringement of the right?

In one lawsuit, known as the *Pink Lady* case (Tokyo District Court, Heisei 19 (wa) No. 20986 and Intellectual Property High Court, Heisei 20 (ne) No. 10063), a previously famous duo of female singers claimed compensation for infringement of their right of publicity against a weekly magazine publisher for women that published monochrome photographs of them in an article regarding a diet programme.

The Tokyo District Court (on 4 July 2008) and the Tokyo High Court (on 27 August 2009) decided in favour of the publisher. The High Court judged that whether the use of the names and likenesses of the celebrities infringed the right of publicity or not should be decided based on whether the asserted infringer had used such name or likeness due to recognising their attractiveness to customers. Considering the purpose and the condition of use of the photographs, the Court judged that the defendant did not infringe the right of publicity due to the following:

- it had used monochrome photographs;
- the size of the photos used;
- the ratio of the photos used to that of the article; and
- the contents of the article.

JAPAN Atsumi & Sakai

In another lawsuit, an actress who licensed her publicity to advertise a cosmetic surgery clinic (and use of her name, photograph and comments on the advertisement) claimed damages against another clinic that took over the business and website of the original cosmetic surgery clinic and continued to use her publicity on its website despite termination of the licence agreement. The Tokyo District Court (on 24 December 2008) awarded mental damages as well as financial damages regardless of depreciation of social value, because a holder of publicity rights may decide at his or her discretion whether he or she should appear on advertisements or not and such decision was ignored in this case.

On the other hand, in one lawsuit, known as the *Bae Yong Joon* case (Tokyo District Court, Heisei 21 (wa) No. 4331), a very popular Korean actor claimed compensation for infringement of his right of publicity against a publisher that published a special edition magazine in relation to his visit to Japan. The Court (on 21 October 2010) ruled that the publisher infringed his right of publicity and awarded financial damages in favour of Bae Yong Joon. However, the Court did not award mental damages because his social value was not depreciated by the publishing and there was not sufficient evidence that he suffered mental damages that were not already compensated by financial damages. In addition, the Court denied deemed damages, which should be applied mutatis mutandis pursuant to article 114 of Copyright Act, which provides deemed damages, because the right of publicity originates in moral right or personal right and the nature of the right is different from copyright.

#### Litigation

 ${\bf 28} \ \overline{\mbox{ln what forum are right of publicity infringement proceedings held?}$ 

The proceedings are the same as a normal suit.

Japan's 50 district courts have territorial jurisdiction at first instance for cases in which the amount disputed exceeds ¥1.4 million (if the amount disputed in a case is less than ¥1.4 million, the summary courts may have jurisdiction; however, it is very rare that summary courts handle publicity cases).

Eight High Courts and the Intellectual Property High Court have territorial jurisdiction at second instance. The Supreme Court exercises appellate jurisdiction of final appeal and for appeals against a ruling as provided specifically in the codes of procedure.

A final appeal to the Supreme Court is permissible in the following instances in relation to civil cases:

- an appeal lodged against a judgment rendered in the first or second instance by a High Court;
- a direct appeal sought against a judgment rendered by a district court at first instance;

- an appeal filed with a High Court and transferred to the Supreme Court for certain special reasons; and
- a special appeal to the court of last resort against a judgment in a civil case rendered by a High Court as the final appellate court.

29 Are disputed issues decided by a judge or a jury?

Disputed issues are decided by a judge or a panel of three judges.

As a rule, cases are handled by a single judge; however, a threejudge panel is required in the following instances:

- for cases in which the judges in each branch of a district court have decided (at their discretion) that the trial and decision should be made by a panel;
- for appeals against judgments in civil cases rendered by summary courts and appeals against orders and directions made at summary courts in civil cases; and
- for cases designated as requiring a panel by laws other than the court act, which provides the above.
- **30** To what extent are courts willing to consider, or bound by, the opinions of other national or foreign courts that have handed down decisions in similar cases?

Courts will consider or refer to other national or foreign court decisions; however, they are not bound by these.

**31** Is preliminary relief available? If so, what preliminary measures are available and under what conditions?

Preliminary relief may be available. In practice, however, it is rare that the right holder asks for preliminary relief unless there is a privacy issue in addition to the publicity issue.

32 What avenues of appeal are available in main proceedings or preliminary injunction proceedings? Under what conditions?

The party who lost, in whole or in part, the case in an initial jurisdiction may appeal to the high court designated depending on the local jurisdiction.

Appeal to the Supreme Court is limited pursuant to civil procedure.

What is the average cost and time frame for a first instance decision, for a preliminary injunction, and for appeal proceedings?

The average cost varies depending on the case, the attorneys and how the defendant asserts the case.



#### Chie Kasahara chie.kasahara@aplaw.jp

Fukoku Seimei Bldg (Reception: 12F) 2-2-2 Uchisaiwaicho, Chiyoda-ku

Tokyo 100-0011

Japan

Tel: +81 3 5501 2111 Fax: +81 3 5501 2211

www.aplaw.jp

Atsumi & Sakai JAPAN

There is no regulation regarding attorneys' fees in Japan. If the amount of a dispute is ¥5 million, attorneys' fees for a preliminary injunction might range from ¥500,000 to ¥1 million, and official costs to be paid to the court would be ¥2,000. Attorneys' fees for a first-instance decision might range from ¥750,000 to ¥1.5 million, and official costs to be paid to the court would be ¥30,000. Attorneys' fees for appeal proceedings might range from ¥750,000

to  $\S1.5$  million, and official costs to be paid to the court would be  $\S60,000$ .

The time taken to obtain a first-instance decision varies from one to one-and-a-half years. The time taken to obtain a preliminary injunction varies from a few days to one month, depending on the case, and the first appeal would take from one to two years.

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd. This article was first published in Getting the Deal Through - Right of Publicity 2012, (published in December, 2011; contributing editor: Jonathan D Reichman, Kenyon & Kenyon LLP). For further information please visit www.GettingTheDealThrough.com.

www.gettingthedealthrough.com 57



#### **Annual volumes published on:**

Air Transport

**Anti-Corruption Regulation** 

Arbitration

Banking Regulation

Cartel Regulation

Climate Regulation

Construction

Copyright

Corporate Governance

Corporate Immigration

Dispute Resolution

Dominance

e-Commerce

**Electricity Regulation** 

Enforcement of Foreign

Judgments

Environment

Franchise

Gas Regulation

Insurance & Reinsurance

Intellectual Property &

Antitrust

Labour & Employment

Licensing

Life Sciences

Merger Control

Mergers & Acquisitions

Mining

Oil Regulation

Patents

Pharmaceutical Antitrust

Private Antitrust Litigation

Private Equity

**Product Liability** 

Product Recall

Project Finance

D. I. II. D.

Public Procurement

Real Estate

Restructuring & Insolvency

Right of Publicity

Securities Finance

Shipping

Tax on Inbound Investment

Telecoms and Media

Trademarks

**Vertical Agreements** 

For more information or to purchase books, please visit: www.GettingTheDealThrough.com



The Official Research Partner of the International Bar Association



Strategic research partners of the ABA International section